IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RALPH S. JANVEY, IN HIS CAPACITY	§
AS COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER FOR	§
THE STANFORD INTERNATIONAL	§
BANK, LTD., ET AL.	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
V.	§
	§
MIGUEL VENGER, ET AL.	§
	§
Defendants.	§

Case No. 03:10-CV-0366

ANSWER AND COUNTER-CLAIM TO THE RECEIVER'S COMPLAINT AGAINST CERTAIN STANFORD INVESTORS (Shannon S. Bundick)

Defendant Shannon S. Bundick ("Respondent") files this Answer and Counter-Claim to the Receiver's Complaint Against Certain Stanford Investors (Doc. 1) and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

I. ANSWER

SUMMARY

1. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraphs 1 to 6 for lack of knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief.

PARTIES

2. Respondent admits the allegation in Paragraph 7 that the parties to this complaint

are the Receiver and the investors named in an Appendix to the Complaint.

3. Respondent admits the allegation in Paragraph 8 that the undersigned attorney accepts service of the Receiver's Complaint.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

4. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraphs 9 to 13 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

5. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraphs 14 to 25 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

REQUESTED RELIEF / PRAYER

6. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraphs 26 to 27 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

7. The allegations in Paragraph 28 require no response because they are legal conclusions. To the extent a response is required, Respondent denies them for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

8. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraphs 29 to 30 for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

9. The allegations in Paragraphs 31 to 42 require no response because they are legal conclusions. To the extent a response is required, Respondent denies them for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief.

APPENDIX

10. Respondent denies that the amounts stated in the Receiver's Appendix to his Complaint are correct.

II. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

UNIFORM FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ACT

11. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because any amounts Respondent allegedly received were not fraudulent transfers as a matter of law and Respondent has an affirmative defense under TEX BUS. & COM. CODE § 24.010.

ESTOPPEL / PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

12. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because any amounts Respondent allegedly received were the result of reasonable reliance upon misrepresentations made by the Receiver's predecessor in interest.

FAILURE TO MITIGATE

13. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because, in pursuing them, he has failed to mitigate damages to the receivership estate.

14. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because his predecessor in interest failed to mitigate damages for the amounts now sought from Respondent.

FRAUD / MISREPRESENTATION

15. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because they are the result of fraud or misrepresentation by the Receiver's predecessor in interest.

ILLEGALITY

16. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because they are the result of illegality by the Receiver's predecessor in interest.

LACHES

17. The Receiver cannot prevail because he improperly rested on his claims and Respondent would be prejudiced as a result.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS / STATUTE OF REPOSE

18. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because the limitations period has expired and all or a part of the claims or remedies are extinguished.

UNCLEAN HANDS

19. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims due to the comparative lack of equity by the Receiver and his predecessor in interest.

IN PARI DELICTO

20. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims due to wrongful conduct by the Receiver and his predecessor in interest.

PRINCIPLES OF EQUITY

21. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims because they are not consistent with applicable principles of equity.

PREMATURE / STANDING

22. The Receiver cannot prevail upon his claims until this Court determines the Antiguan Liquidators' petition for recognition under Chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code. If granted, the Receiver would not have standing to assert his claims.

OFFSET

23. The Receiver's claims must be offset by any amounts the Respondent paid in penalties and taxes.

24. The Receiver's claims must be offset by damages he caused Respondent as a result of an unlawful asset freeze.

III. COUNTER CLAIMS

CONVERSION

25. From February 2009 to November 2009, the Receiver maintained an unlawful asset freeze against one or more of the Respondent's accounts at Pershing LLC. During that time, the accounts contained personal property the Respondent owned, possessed, or had the right to immediately possess. By maintaining that unlawful asset freeze, the Receiver wrongfully exercised dominion or control over the property. As a result, Respondent suffered injury in the form of loss of use and/or lost profits.

IV. JURY DEMAND

26. Respondent requests that this matter be tried before a jury.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Respondent respectfully asks this Court for an Order that: (1) denies the Receiver's claims for fraudulent conveyance, unjust enrichment, and constructive trust; (2) awards Respondent damages suffered as a result of the Receiver's unlawful asset freeze or, alternatively, a credit in that amount; and (3) awards Respondent the costs of this lawsuit, attorneys' fees, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. Respondent also asks for a jury trial and for such other and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, that the Court may find appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING, SELANDER, CUMMISKEY & LOWNDS, P.C. 2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 871-2100 Fax: (214) 871-2111

By: <u>/s/ Michael J. Quilling</u> Michael J. Quilling State Bar No. 16432300 Brent Rodine

State Bar No. 24044870

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On May 14, 2010, I electronically submitted this pleading to the Clerk of Court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the Court. I hereby certify that I have served all counsel and/or pro se parties of record electronically or by another manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2).

/s/ Michael J. Quilling