IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RALPH S. JANVEY, IN HIS CAPACITY AS	§	
COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER FOR THE	§	
STANFORD INTERNATIONAL BANK, LTD.,	§	
ET AL.	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Case No. 3:10-cv-00527-N
	§	
V.	§	
	§	
BEN BARNES AND BEN BARNES GROUP, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

RECEIVER'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PROFFERED EXHIBITS AND MOTION TO STRIKE [DOC. 13]

Receiver Ralph S. Janvey (the "Receiver") files this Response to Defendants' Objections to Proffered Exhibits and Motion to Strike [Doc. 13], and respectfully shows the Court as follows:

ARGUMENT & AUTHORITIES

Defendants object to the exhibits the Receiver submitted with his Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and ask the Court to strike those exhibits. Each of the three exhibits (the "Exhibits") contains an email from Allen Stanford discussing payments to Defendants. *See* Appendix in Support of Receiver's Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 11].

The Receiver cited the Exhibits to illustrate his allegation that "Stanford characterized many of the payments made to [Defendants] as having been made to 'Ben Barnes,'" [*see* Doc. 10 at 6], which is consistent with the Receiver's allegation in the Complaint

that *both* Defendants — Ben Barnes *and* Ben Barnes Group, LP — received fraudulent transfers from Stanford. *See* Doc. 1 at 1, 7. These allegations are to be accepted as true at the motion-todismiss stage. *See Gonzalez v. Kay*, 577 F.3d 600, 603 (5th Cir. 2009). Thus, the Court need not rely upon or even consider the Exhibits in ruling on the Motion to Dismiss, and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss should be denied regardless of the Court's decision regarding the Exhibits and Defendants' objections thereto.¹

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court overrule Defendants' Objections to Proffered Exhibits and deny their Motion to Strike [Doc. 13]. Further, the Receiver requests that the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 8] be denied, no matter what the Court decides regarding the Exhibits and the Defendants' objections thereto. The Receiver also requests any further relief to which he may be entitled.

¹ Defendants' hearsay objection is meritless because the Exhibits are not offered to establish the truth of the matter asserted, but simply to show the content of the declarant's statement. *See* FED. R. EVID. 801(c).

Dated: June 7, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

By: /s/ Kevin M. Sadler

Kevin M. Sadler Texas Bar No. 17512450 kevin.sadler@bakerbotts.com Scott D. Powers Texas Bar No. 24027746 scott.powers@bakerbotts.com 1500 San Jacinto Center 98 San Jacinto Blvd. Austin, Texas 78701-4039 (512) 322-2500 (512) 322-2501 (Facsimile)

Timothy S. Durst Texas Bar No. 00786924 tim.durst@bakerbotts.com 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 953-6500 (214) 953-6503 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR RECEIVER RALPH S. JANVEY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On June 7, 2010, I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of the court of the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the Court. I hereby certify that I will serve Ben Barnes and Ben Barnes Group, L.P. individually or through their counsel of record, electronically, or by other means authorized by the Court or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

<u>/s/ Kevin M. Sadler</u> Kevin M. Sadler